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## COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 \\ \hline 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 20,004,972 | \$ | 29,951,561 |
| Investments |  | 9,601,766 |  |  |
| Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$295,000 and \$219,000, respectively |  | 16,940,582 |  | 17,243,788 |
| Inventories |  | 27,837,274 |  | 29,398,443 |
| Other current assets |  | 520,549 |  | 861,039 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 3,844,034 |  | 3,364,297 |
| TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS |  | 78,749,177 |  | 80,819,128 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, net |  | 13,563,488 |  | 12,014,541 |
| OTHER ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | 4,994,074 |  |  |
| Goodwill |  | 4,560,217 |  | 4,560,217 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 678,009 |  | 643,667 |
| Prepaid pensions |  | 340,308 |  | 566,137 |
| Other assets |  | 128,552 |  | 134,101 |
| TOTAL OTHER ASSETS |  | 10,701,160 |  | 5,904,122 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 103,013,825 | \$ | 98,737,791 |


| CURRENT LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$ | 360,440 | \$ | 348,373 |
| Accounts payable |  | 6,062,218 | \$ | 4,126,756 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits |  | 3,752,511 |  | 2,985,233 |
| Other accrued liabilities |  | 1,637,634 |  | 1,624,971 |
| Income taxes payable |  | 733,955 |  | 11,430 |
| Dividends payable |  | 1,168,154 |  | 994,169 |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES |  | 13,714,912 |  | 10,090,932 |
| LONG TERM LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term compensation plans |  | 708,782 |  | 1,410,559 |
| Income taxes payable |  | 643,855 |  | 733,683 |
| Long term debt - mortgage payable |  | 2,591,186 |  | 2,774,474 |
| TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES |  | 3,943,823 |  | 4,918,716 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES |  |  |  |  |


| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred stock, par value $\$ 1.00$ per share; $3,000,000$ shares authorized; none issued |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, par value $\$ .05$ per share; $30,000,000$ shares authorized; $8,343,262$ and $8,282,348$ shares issued and outstanding, respectively |  | 417,163 |  | 414,117 |
| Additional paid-in capital |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 33,533,263 |  | 33,019,154 |
| Retained earnings |  | 51,290,625 |  | 50,503,410 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax |  | 114,039 |  | $(208,538)$ |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  | 85,355,090 |  | 83,728,143 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ | 103,013,825 | \$ | 98,737,791 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

## COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30 |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Sales from operations | \$ | 28,584,399 | \$ | 31,291,042 | \$ | 55,349,357 | \$ | 61,612,277 |
| Costs and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of sales |  | 17,934,303 |  | 20,029,342 |  | 34,919,816 |  | 38,900,023 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 7,882,388 |  | 8,553,281 |  | 15,885,197 |  | 16,584,438 |
| Impairment and other charges related to JDL (Notes 4 and 11) |  |  |  | $(221,213)$ |  |  |  | 2,999,441 |
| Total costs and expenses |  | 25,816,691 |  | 28,361,410 |  | 50,805,013 |  | 58,483,902 |
| Operating income |  | 2,767,708 |  | 2,929,632 |  | 4,544,344 |  | 3,128,375 |
| Other income and (expenses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment and other income |  | 280,245 |  | 130,504 |  | 490,782 |  | 319,260 |
| Gain on sale of assets |  | 24,041 |  | 80,987 |  | 32,672 |  | 86,204 |
| Interest and other expense |  | $(57,703)$ |  | $(51,638)$ |  | $(116,795)$ |  | $(87,762)$ |
| Other income, net |  | 246,583 |  | 159,853 |  | 406,659 |  | 317,702 |
| Income before income taxes |  | 3,014,291 |  | 3,089,485 |  | 4,951,003 |  | 3,446,077 |
| Income tax expense |  | 1,265,910 |  | 829,000 |  | 1,979,690 |  | 999,000 |
| Net income |  | 1,748,381 |  | 2,260,485 |  | 2,971,313 |  | 2,447,077 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional minimum pension liability adjustments |  | (240,238) |  | $(44,367)$ |  | $(228,278)$ |  | 43 |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities |  | 66,775 |  |  |  | 66,775 |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment |  | 557,799 |  | 33,822 |  | 484,080 |  | $(209,153)$ |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax |  | 384,336 |  | (10,545) |  | 322,577 |  | (209,110) |
| Comprehensive net income (loss) | \$ | $\underline{2,132,717}$ | \$ | 2,249,940 | \$ | $\underline{3,293,890}$ | \$ | 2,237,967 |
| Basic net income per share: | \$ | . 21 | \$ | . 26 | \$ | . 36 | \$ | . 28 |
| Diluted net income per share: | \$ | . 21 | \$ | . 26 | \$ | . 36 | \$ | . 28 |
| Average Basic Shares Outstanding |  | 8,343,262 |  | 8,609,628 |  | 8,330,093 |  | 8,593,851 |
| Average Dilutive Shares Outstanding |  | 8,350,628 |  | 8,652,053 |  | 8,335,162 |  | 8,635,834 |
| Dividends per share | \$ | . 12 | \$ | . 12 | \$ | . 24 | \$ | . 24 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

 (Unaudited)|  | Common Stock |  |  | Additional <br> Paid-in <br> Capital |  | Retained Earnings |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2008 | 8,282,349 | \$ | 414,117 | \$ | 33,019,154 | \$ | 50,503,410 | \$ | $(208,538)$ | \$ | 83,728,143 |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,971,313 |  |  | \$ | 2,971,313 |
| Issuance of common stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 6,683 |  | 334 |  | 49,187 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 49,521 |
| Issuance of common stock to Employee Stock Ownership Plan | 55,100 |  | 2,755 |  | 427,025 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 429,780 |
| Issuance of common stock under Employee Stock Option Plan | 2,000 |  | 100 |  | 17,200 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 17,300 |
| Tax benefit from non-qualified employee stock options |  |  |  |  | 650 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 650 |
| Share based compensation |  |  |  |  | 31,571 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 31,571 |
| Purchase of common stock | $(2,869)$ |  | (143) |  | $(11,524)$ |  | $(14,458)$ |  |  | \$ | $(26,125)$ |
| Shareholder dividends |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,169,640)$ |  |  | \$ | $(2,169,640)$ |
| Other comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 322,577 | \$ | 322,577 |
| BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2009 | 8,343,263 |  | 417,163 |  | 33,533,263 |  | 51,290,625 |  | 114,039 |  | 85,355,090 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

## COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

|  | Six Months Ended June 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 2,971,313 | \$ | 2,447,077 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 814,454 |  | 1,107,339 |
| Share based compensation |  | 31,571 |  | 41,824 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | $(514,079)$ |  | $(1,024,482)$ |
| Impairment and other charges related to JDL (Notes 4 and 11) |  | 0 |  | 2,999,441 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets |  | $(32,672)$ |  | $(86,204)$ |
| Excess tax benefit from stock based payments |  | (650) |  | $(34,216)$ |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Trade receivables |  | 416,903 |  | $(4,346,423)$ |
| Inventories |  | 1,736,690 |  | 933,428 |
| Prepaid income taxes |  | 0 |  | 689,624 |
| Other current assets |  | 355,195 |  | $(946,866)$ |
| Accounts payable |  | 1,865,747 |  | 546,307 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits |  | 495,280 |  | $(1,105,164)$ |
| Other accrued expenses |  | $(5,919)$ |  | 794,308 |
| Income taxes payable |  | 633,348 |  | 395,836 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 8,767,181 |  | 2,411,829 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures |  | $(2,318,124)$ |  | $(2,408,985)$ |
| Purchases of investments |  | $(21,758,065)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from sale of fixed assets |  | 41,536 |  | 127,906 |
| Proceeds from the sale of investments |  | 7,229,000 |  |  |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(16,805,653)$ |  | $(2,281,079)$ |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends paid |  | $(1,995,656)$ |  | $(2,062,999)$ |
| Mortgage principal payments |  | $(171,221)$ |  | $(156,820)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock |  | 66,821 |  | 306,559 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock based payments |  | 650 |  | 34,216 |
| Purchase of common stock |  | $(26,125)$ |  | $(103,932)$ |
| Net cash used in financing activities |  | $(2,125,531)$ |  | (1,982,976) |
| EFFECT OF FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH |  | $(217,414)$ |  | $(11,623)$ |
| NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS |  | $(9,946,589)$ |  | $(1,863,849)$ |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD |  | 29,951,561 |  | 29,427,879 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD | \$ | 20,004,972 | \$ | 27,564,030 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: |  |  |  |  |
| Income taxes paid | \$ | 1,860,422 | \$ | 449,260 |
| Interest paid |  | 115,889 |  | 89,079 |
| Dividends declared not paid |  | 1,168,154 |  | 1,034,632 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

## COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC

 N OTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)
## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Description of business

Communications Systems, Inc. (herein collectively called "CSI", "our" or the "Company") is a Minnesota corporation organized in 1969 which operates directly and through its subsidiaries located in the United States, Costa Rica, the United Kingdom and China. CSI is principally engaged through its Suttle and Austin Taylor business units in the manufacture and sale of modular connecting and wiring devices for voice and data communications, digital subscriber line filters, and structured wiring systems and through its Transition Networks business unit in the manufacture of media and rate conversion products for telecommunications networks. CSI also provides through its JDL Technologies business unit IT solutions including network design, computer infrastructure installations, IT service management, change management, network security and network operations services.

Financial statement presentation
The condensed consolidated balance sheets and condensed consolidated statement of changes in stockholders' equity as of June 30,2009 and 2008 and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the periods ended June 30,2009 and 2008 have been prepared by Company management. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments except where noted) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows at June 30, 2009 and 2008 and for the periods then ended have been made.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in condensed consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted. We recommend these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's December 31, 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K. The results of operations for the periods ended June 30 are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the entire year.

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The estimates and assumptions used in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are based upon management's evaluation of the relevant facts and circumstances as of the time of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Except to the extent updated or described below, the significant accounting policies set forth in Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, appropriately represent, in all material respects, the current status of accounting policies, and are incorporated herein by reference.

## Cash equivalents and investments

For purposes of the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had $\$ 20.0$ million in cash and cash equivalents. Of this amount, $\$ 6.6$ million was invested in short-term money market funds that are not considered to be bank deposits and are not insured or guaranteed by the federal deposit insurance company (FDIC) or other government agency. These money market funds seek to preserve the value of the investment at $\$ 1.00$ per share; however, it is possible to lose money investing in these funds. The remainder is operating cash and certificates of deposit which are fully insured through the FDIC.

The Company had $\$ 14.6$ million in investments which consist of certificates of deposit that are traded on the open market and are classified as available-for-sale at June 30 , 2009. Of the $\$ 14.6$ million in investments, $\$ 9.6$ million mature in 12 months or less and are classified as current assets. Available-for-sale investments are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses net of tax excluded from operations and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity (See Comprehensive income below).

## Revenue Recognition

The Company's manufacturing operations (Suttle, Transition Networks and Austin Taylor) recognize revenue when the earnings process is complete, evidenced by persuasive evidence of an agreement, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Revenue is recognized for domestic and international sales at the shipping point or delivery to customers, based on the related shipping terms. Risk of loss transfers at the point of shipment or delivery to customers. Sales are made directly to customers and through distributors. Payment terms for distributors are consistent with the terms of the Company's direct customers. The Company records a provision for sale returns, sales incentives and warranty costs at the time of the sale based on historical experience and current trends.

JDL Technologies generally records revenue on hardware, software and related equipment sales and installation contracts when the revenue recognition criteria are met and products are installed and accepted by customer.

JDL records revenue on service contracts on a straight-line basis over the contract period, unless evidence suggests the revenue is earned in a different pattern. Each contract is individually reviewed to determine when the earnings process is complete. Contracts in effect through June 30, 2008 with the United States Virgin Islands Department of Education (VIDE) required funding under the federal government's E-RATE program and approval by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administration Company (USAC) before payment was made. Our policy is not to recognize E-RATE revenue on our VIDE contracts until approval is received from the SLD.

## Comprehensive income

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, are as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreign currency translation | \$ | $(368,294)$ | \$ | $(852,374)$ |
| Unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments |  | 66,775 |  |  |
| Minimum pension liability |  | 415,558 |  | 643,836 |
|  | \$ | 114,039 | \$ | $\underline{(208,538)}$ |

## NOTE 2 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Common shares are reserved in connection with the Company's 1992 Stock Plan under which $2,500,000$ shares of common stock may be issued pursuant to stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock or deferred stock granted to officers and key employees. Exercise prices of stock options under the Stock Plan cannot be less than fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. Rules and conditions governing awards of stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock are determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, subject to certain limitations incorporated into the Stock Plan. At June 30, 2009, 1,074,239 shares remained available to be issued under the Stock Plan. All currently outstanding awards under the Stock Plan are vested. The options expire five years from date of grant.

Shares of common stock are also reserved for issuance in connection with a nonqualified stock option plan under which up to 200,000 shares may be issued to nonemployee directors (the "Director Plan"). The Director Plan provides for the automatic grant of nonqualified options for 3,000 shares of common stock annually to each nonemployee director concurrent with the annual stockholders' meeting. Exercise price is the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant. Options granted under the Director Plan vest when issued and expire 10 years from date of grant. At June 30, 2009, 31,000 shares are available to be issued under the Director Plan.

The Company also has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) for which 500,000 common shares have been reserved. Employees are able to acquire shares under the ESPP Plan at $95 \%$ of the price at the end of the current semi-annual plan term, which is June 30, 2009. The ESPP Plan is non-compensatory under current rules and does not give rise to compensation cost under SFAS No. 123(R)

Stock compensation expense recognized for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 was $\$ 32,000$ before income taxes and $\$ 20,000$ after income taxes. Stock compensation expense recognized for the six month period ended June 30, 2008 was $\$ 42,000$ before income taxes and $\$ 27,000$ after income taxes. Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options included in financing cash flows for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, were $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 34,000$, respectively.

The following table summarizes the stock option transactions for the three months ended June 30, 2009. All outstanding stock options are currently exercisable.

|  | Options | Weighted average exercise price per share |  | Weighted average remaining contractual term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding - December 31, 2008 | 351,350 | \$ | 9.99 | 2.78 years |
| Issued | 18,000 | \$ | 9.73 |  |
| Exercised | $(2,000)$ | \$ | 8.65 |  |
| Canceled | $(124,450)$ | \$ | 9.51 |  |
| Outstanding - June 30, 2009 | 242,900 | \$ | 10.23 | 4.19 years |

18,000 director stock options were granted during the six month period ended June 30, 2009. The aggregate intrinsic value of all options (the amount by which the market price of the stock on the last day of the period exceeded the market price of the stock on the date of grant) outstanding at June 30, 2009 was $\$ 155,000$. The intrinsic value of all options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was $\$ 2,000$. Net cash proceeds from the exercise of all stock options were $\$ 0$ and $\$ 239,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

NOTE 3 - INVENTORIES

Inventories summarized below are priced at the lower of first-in, first-out cost or market:

|  |  | June 30 <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | December 31 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |  |  |  |

## NOTE 4 - GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill is required to be evaluated for impairment on an annual basis and between annual tests upon the occurrence of certain events or circumstances, according to SFAS No 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The standard requires a two-step process be performed to analyze whether or not goodwill has been impaired. Step one is to test for potential impairment, and requires that the fair value of the reporting unit be compared to its book value including goodwill. If the fair value is higher than the book value, no impairment is recognized. If the fair value is lower than the book value, a second step must be performed. The second step is to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any, and requires that a hypothetical purchase price allocation be done to determine the implied fair value of goodwill. This fair value is then compared to the carrying value of goodwill. If the implied fair value is lower than the carrying value, an impairment adjustment must be recorded.

On January 17, 2008, VIDE, at that time a major customer of the JDL Technologies segment under successive one year contracts since 1998, notified the company that JDL was not selected as a vendor to provide services to VIDE for the 2008-2009 school year. The loss of the VIDE contract for 2008-2009 represented an event that required goodwill to be tested for impairment in accordance with SFAS 142. The Company completed the SFAS No. 142 evaluation at March 31, 2008 and recorded a goodwill impairment for the JDL Technologies segment of \$704,000

No events or circumstances occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that required an impairment test or adjustment to the financial statements.

## NOTE 5 - WARRANTY

We provide reserves for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. We estimate the costs of our warranty obligations based on our warranty policy or applicable contractual warranty, historical experience of known product failure rates, and use of materials and service delivery costs incurred in correcting product failures. Management reviews the estimated warranty liability on a quarterly basis to determine its adequacy. The actual warranty expense could differ from the estimates made by the company based on product performance.

The following table presents the changes in the Company's warranty liability for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the majority of which relates to a five-year obligation to provide for potential future liabilities for network equipment sales.

|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Balance | \$ | 593,000 | \$ | 518,000 |
| Actual warranty costs paid |  | $(184,000)$ |  | $(159,000)$ |
| Amounts charged to expense |  | 336,000 |  | 260,000 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 745,000 | \$ | 619,000 |

## NOTE 6 - CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is subject to legal actions and claims and incurs costs to defend against such actions and claims. At June 30, 2009, no legal actions or claims were outstanding or pending that would materially affect the Company's financial position or results of operations.

## NOTE 7 - INCOME TAXES

In the preparation of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements, management calculates income taxes based upon the estimated effective rate applicable to operating results for the full fiscal year. This includes estimating the current tax liability as well as assessing differences resulting from different treatment of items for tax and book accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are recorded on the balance sheet. These assets and liabilities are analyzed regularly and management assesses the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income.

At June 30, 2009 there was $\$ 522,000$ of net uncertain tax benefit positions that would reduce the effective income tax rate if recognized. The Company records interest and penalties related to income taxes as income tax expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. The tax years $2005-2007$ remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the years 2004-2007 remain open to examination by various state tax departments. The tax years from 2006-2008 remain open in Costa Rica.

The Company's effective income tax rate was $40 \%$ for the first six months of 2009 . The effective tax rate differs from the federal tax rate of $35 \%$ due to state income taxes, foreign losses not currently deductible for U.S. income tax purposes, and provisions for interest charges on uncertain tax positions. The foreign operating losses may ultimately be deductible in the countries which they occurred, however the Company has not recorded a deferred tax asset for these losses due to uncertainty regarding eventual realization of the benefit. The effect of the foreign operations is an overall rate increase of approximately $4.4 \%$ for the six months ended June 30 , 2009.There were no additional uncertain tax positions identified in the second quarter of 2009. The Company's effective income tax rate was approximately $29 \%$ for the six months ended June 30 , 2008, and differed from the federal tax rate due to provisions for interest charges, state income taxes and settlement of uncertain tax positions.

## NOTE 8 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company classifies its businesses into four segments: Suttle, which manufactures U.S. standard modular connecting and wiring devices for voice and data communications and structured cabling products; Transition Networks, which designs and markets data transmission, computer network and media conversion products and print servers; JDL Technologies, (JDL), which provides IT services; and Austin Taylor which manufactures British standard telephone equipment and equipment enclosures for the U.K and international markets. Non-allocated corporate general and administrative expenses are categorized as "Other" in the Company's segment reporting. Management has chosen to organize the enterprise and disclose reportable segments based on products and services. There are no material intersegment revenues.

Information concerning the Company's continuing operations in the various segments for the six-month and three-month periods ended June 30 , 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

## SEGMENT INFORMATION - SIX MONTHS

|  | Suttle |  | Transition Networks |  | JDL <br> Technologies |  | AustinTaylor |  | Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Six months ended June 30, 2009: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | \$ | 22,610,556 | \$ | 25,807,976 | \$ | 5,383,301 | \$ | 1,547,524 | \$ | - | \$ | 55,349,357 |
| Cost of sales |  | 17,568,938 |  | 12,389,167 |  | 3,503,354 |  | 1,458,357 |  | - | \$ | 34,919,816 |
| Gross profit |  | 5,041,618 |  | 13,418,809 |  | 1,879,947 |  | 89,167 |  | - |  | 20,429,541 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 3,137,280 |  | 9,794,984 |  | 660,565 |  | 589,474 |  | 1,702,894 | \$ | 15,885,197 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | 1,904,338 | \$ | 3,623,825 | \$ | 1,219,382 | \$ | $(500,307)$ | \$ | $(1,702,894)$ | \$ | 4,544,344 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ | 312,877 | \$ | 294,861 | \$ | 81,777 | \$ | 31,406 | \$ | 93,533 | \$ | 814,454 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ | 2,007,992 | \$ | 160,058 | \$ | 8,542 | \$ | 83,351 | \$ | 58,181 | \$ | 2,318,124 |
| Assets | \$ | 23,913,846 | \$ | 26,390,154 | \$ | 5,218,364 | \$ | 4,987,839 | \$ | 42,503,622 | \$ | 103,013,825 |
|  |  | Suttle |  | Transition Networks |  | JDL chnologies |  | Austin Taylor |  | Other |  | Total |
| Six months ended June 30, 2008: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | \$ | 22,601,241 | \$ | 30,324,633 | \$ | 5,574,530 | \$ | 3,111,873 | \$ | - | \$ | 61,612,277 |
| Cost of sales |  | 17,018,734 |  | 15,921,759 |  | 3,392,070 |  | 2,567,460 |  | - | \$ | 38,900,023 |
| Gross profit |  | 5,582,507 |  | 14,402,874 |  | 2,182,460 |  | 544,413 |  | - |  | 22,712,254 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 3,491,766 |  | 10,100,759 |  | 484,686 |  | 549,218 |  | 1,958,009 | \$ | 16,584,438 |
| Impairment and other charges related to JDL |  |  |  |  |  | 2,999,441 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,999,441 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | 2,090,741 | \$ | 4,302,115 | \$ | $(1,301,667)$ | \$ | $(4,805)$ | \$ | $(1,958,009)$ | \$ | 3,128,375 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ | 366,149 | \$ | 285,073 | \$ | 286,200 | \$ | 44,477 | \$ | 125,440 | \$ | 1,107,339 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ | 438,574 | \$ | 339,968 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,156 | \$ | 1,619,287 | \$ | 2,408,985 |
| Assets | \$ | 24,345,248 | \$ | 25,930,045 | \$ | 6,385,685 | \$ | 5,468,501 | \$ | 39,514,303 | \$ | 101,643,782 |

## SEGMENT INFORMATION - THREE MONTHS

|  | Suttle |  | Transition Networks |  | JDL <br> Technologies |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Austin } \\ & \text { Taylor } \end{aligned}$ |  | Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Three months ended June 30, 2009: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | \$ | 10,760,552 | \$ | 13,671,193 | \$ | 3,302,233 | \$ | 850,421 | \$ | - | \$ | 28,584,399 |
| Cost of sales |  | 8,499,083 |  | 6,564,334 |  | 2,065,941 |  | 804,945 |  | - | \$ | 17,934,303 |
| Gross profit |  | 2,261,469 |  | 7,106,859 |  | 1,236,292 |  | 45,476 |  | - |  | 10,650,096 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 1,571,038 |  | 4,871,653 |  | 340,013 |  | 308,538 |  | 791,146 | \$ | 7,882,388 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | 690,431 | \$ | 2,235,206 | \$ | 896,279 | \$ | $(263,062)$ | \$ | $(791,146)$ | \$ | 2,767,708 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ | 162,713 | \$ | 147,436 | \$ | 40,293 | \$ | 16,433 | \$ | 2,129 | \$ | 369,004 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ | 1,768,895 | \$ | 84,756 | \$ | 8,542 | \$ | 43,052 | \$ | 41,936 | \$ | 1,947,181 |
| Assets | \$ | 23,913,846 | \$ | 26,390,154 | \$ | 5,218,364 | \$ | 4,987,839 | \$ | 42,503,622 | \$ | 103,013,825 |


|  | Suttle |  | Transition Networks |  | JDL <br> Technologies |  | Austin <br> Taylor |  | Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Three months ended June 30, 2008: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | \$ | 10,153,658 | \$ | 17,276,055 | \$ | 2,414,995 | \$ | 1,446,334 | \$ | - | \$ | 31,291,042 |
| Cost of sales |  | 8,058,266 |  | 9,077,894 |  | 1,667,929 |  | 1,225,253 |  | - | \$ | 20,029,342 |
| Gross profit |  | 2,095,392 |  | 8,198,161 |  | 747,066 |  | 221,081 |  | - |  | 11,261,700 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 1,818,586 |  | 5,316,733 |  | 255,982 |  | 266,596 |  | 895,384 | \$ | 8,553,281 |
| Impairment and other charges related to JDL |  | - |  | - |  | $(221,313)$ |  | - |  | - | \$ | $(221,313)$ |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | 276,806 | \$ | 2,881,428 | \$ | 712,397 | \$ | $(45,515)$ | \$ | $(895,384)$ | \$ | 2,929,732 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ | 186,069 | \$ | 166,683 | \$ | 49,800 | \$ | 23,101 | \$ | 66,462 | \$ | 492,115 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ | 104,445 | \$ | 237,468 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,855 | \$ | 823,871 | \$ | 1,171,639 |
| Assets | \$ | 24,345,248 | \$ | 25,930,045 | \$ | 6,385,685 | \$ | 5,468,501 | \$ | 39,514,303 | \$ | 101,643,782 |

## NOTE 9 - PENSIONS

The Company's U.K. based subsidiary Austin Taylor maintains defined benefit pension plans that cover approximately 10 active employees. The Company does not provide any other post-retirement benefits to its employees. Components of net periodic benefit cost of the pension plans were:

|  | Six months Ended June 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 19,000 |  | 26,000 |
| Interest cost |  | 139,000 |  | 157,000 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(135,000)$ |  | $(156,000)$ |
| Amortization of unrecognized (gain)/loss |  | - |  | - |
|  | \$ | $\underline{23,000}$ | \$ | $\underline{27,000}$ |

## NOTE 10 - NET INCOME PER SHARE

Basic net income per common share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each year. Diluted net income per common share takes into effect the dilutive effect of potential common shares outstanding. The Company's only potential common shares outstanding are stock options, which resulted in a dilutive effect of 7,366 shares and 5,069 shares for the respective three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009. The dilutive effect of stock options for the three and six month periods ended June 30,2008 was 42,426 shares and 41,983 shares, respectively. The Company calculates the dilutive effect of outstanding options using the treasury stock method. The number of shares not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of common stock during the period was 170,900 and 116,900 at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## NOTE 11 - ASSET IMPAIRMENT

We are required to test for asset impairment relating to property and equipment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset might not be recoverable. We apply SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, in order to determine whether or not an asset is impaired. This standard requires an impairment analysis when indicators of impairment are present. If such indicators are present, the standard requires that if the sum of the future expected cash flows from a company's asset, undiscounted and without interest charges, is less than the carrying value, an asset impairment must be recognized in the financial statements. The amount of the impairment is the difference between the fair value of the asset and the carrying value of the asset. On January 17,2008 the Company was notified by VIDE, a customer of JDL Technologies under successive one year contracts since 1998, that the Company was not selected as VIDE's vendor for the next contract year, July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009. The decision of VIDE to select another vendor for the next contract year represented an event that required the related asset group to be tested for impairment. The Company completed this evaluation in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and identified an impairment of the network infrastructure supporting services provided to VIDE to the extent of its total net book value of $\$ 2,295,000$.

## NOTE 12 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Effective Jan. 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, for recurring fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 provides a single definition of fair value and requires enhanced disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. SFAS No. 157 establishes a hierarchal framework for disclosing the observability of the inputs utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. The three levels defined by the SFAS No. 157 hierarchy and examples of each level are as follows:

Level 1 - Observable inputs that reflect unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. The Company's financial instruments categorized as Level 1 relate to cash equivalents.

Level 2 - Observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar instruments and quoted prices in markets that are not active, and inputs that are directly observable or can be corroborated by observable market data. The types of assets and liabilities included in Level 2 are typically either comparable to actively traded securities or contracts, such as treasury securities with pricing interpolated from recent trades of similar securities, or priced with models using highly observable inputs, such as commodity options priced using observable forward prices and volatilities.

Level 3 - Significant inputs to pricing have little or no observability as of the reporting date. The types of assets and liabilities included in Level 3 are those with inputs requiring significant management judgment or estimation, such as the complex and subjective models and forecasts used to determine the fair value of financial instruments.

The Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30,2009 include cash equivalents and investments of $\$ 24,978,000$ classified as level one within the SFAS No. 157 hierarchy. The Company does not have any assets or liabilities classified as level two or three within the SFAS No. 157 hierarchy.

## NOTE 13 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date of this filing. We do not believe there are any material subsequent events which would require further disclosure.

I tem 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Forward looking statements

In this report and, from time to time, in reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in press releases, and in other communications to shareholders or the investing public, the Company may make "forward looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 concerning possible or anticipated future financial performance, business activities, plans, pending claims, investigations or litigation which are typically preceded by the words "believes", "expects", "anticipates", "intends" or similar expressions. For such forward-looking statements, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in federal securities laws. Shareholders and the investing public should understand that such forward looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties which could cause actual performance, activities, anticipated results, outcomes or plans to differ significantly from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to: lower sales to major telephone companies and other major customers; the introduction of competitive products and technologies; our ability to successfully reduce operating expenses at certain business units; the general health of the telecom sector, successful integration and profitability of acquisitions; delays in new product introductions; higher than expected expense related to new sales and marketing initiatives; unfavorable resolution of claims and litigation, availability of adequate supplies of raw materials and components; fuel prices; government funding of education technology spending; and other factors discussed from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including risk factors presented under Item 1A of the Company's most recently filed report on Form 10-K.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008
Consolidated sales decreased in 2009 to $\$ 55,349,000$ compared to $\$ 61,612,000$ in 2008 . Consolidated operating income in 2009 increased to $\$ 4,544,000$ compared to $\$ 3,128,000$ in the first six months of 2008. 2008 first half operating income was impaired by charges totaling $\$ 2,999,000$ related to JDL Technologies not being selected as a vendor to provide services to VIDE for the period from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009.

Net income in 2009 increased to $\$ 2,971,000$ compared to $\$ 2,447,000$ in the first six months of 2008.

## Suttle

Suttle sales remained stable in the first six months of 2009 at $\$ 22,611,000$ compared to $\$ 22,601,000$ in the same period of 2008. Sales by customer groups in the first six months of 2009 and 2008 were:

|  | Suttle Sales by Customer Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Major telephone companies | \$ | 12,997,000 | \$ | 10,318,000 |
| Distributors/OEMs |  | 6,531,000 |  | 8,319,000 |
| International |  | 1,009,000 |  | 1,258,000 |
| Other |  | 2,074,000 |  | 2,706,000 |
|  | \$ | 22,611,000 | \$ | 22,601,000 |

Suttle's sales by product groups in first six months of 2009 and 2008 were:

|  | Suttle Sales by Product Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Modular connecting products | \$ | 8,503,000 | \$ | 10,130,000 |
| DSL products |  | 6,716,000 |  | 4,523,000 |
| Structured cabling products |  | 7,079,000 |  | 7,497,000 |
| Other products |  | 313,000 |  | 451,000 |
|  | \$ | 22,611,000 | \$ | 22,601,000 |

Sales to the major telephone companies increased $26 \%$ in 2009 due to increased sales of DSL products. Sales to these customers accounted for $57 \%$ of Suttle's sales in the first six months of 2009 compared to $46 \%$ of sales in the same period in 2008. Sales to distributors, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and electrical contractors decreased $21 \%$ in 2009 primarily due to the contraction of the housing and building sectors of the economy. This customer segment accounted for $29 \%$ and $37 \%$ of sales in the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. International sales decreased $20 \%$ and accounted for $4 \%$ of Suttle's first six months 2009 sales. Suttle's products do not have a large international market due to different product specifications in non-US markets. Sales to other customers decreased $23 \%$ to $\$ 2,074,000$.

Modular connecting product sales have decreased $16 \%$ while structured cabling products have declined by $6 \%$, due to a slowing of the home building business and accelerated decline in the voice market, whereas DSL products have increased $48 \%$ as a result of a new contract with a major telephone company.

Suttle's gross margin decreased $10 \%$ in the first six months of 2009 to $\$ 5,042,000$ compared to $\$ 5,582,000$ in the same period of 2008. Gross margin percentage decreased to $22 \%$ in 2009 from $25 \%$ in 2008 due to product mix changes. Suttle realizes its highest selling margins on modular connecting products. DSL products are the least profitable. Suttle also earns better margins on sales to distributor and OEM customers where pricing is usually based on Company list prices than from major telephone customers where pricing is usually based on negotiated contracts. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased $\$ 355,000$ or $10 \%$ in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, but remained consistent as a percentage of sales. Suttle's operating income was $\$ 1,904,000$ in the first six months of 2009 compared to operating income of \$2,091,000 in 2008.

## Transition Networks

Transition Networks sales decreased $15 \%$ to $\$ 25,808,000$ in the first six months of 2009 compared to $\$ 30,325,000$ in 2008.
First six months sales by region are presented in the following table:

|  | Transition Networks Sales by Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| North America | \$ | 21,194,000 | \$ | 20,548,000 |
| Europe, Middle East, Africa |  | 2,069,000 |  | 4,753,000 |
| Rest of world |  | 2,545,000 |  | 5,024,000 |
|  | \$ | $\underline{\text { 25,808,000 }}$ | \$ | 30,325,000 |

Sales in North America increased $\$ 646,000$ or $3 \%$ due to projects with the US Federal Government. Overall sales have either remained flat or declined in most markets in the US, but declines have not been as significant as in international markets. International sales decreased $\$ 5,163,000$, or $53 \%$ primarily due to the impact of the global economic crisis and currency fluctuations. Customers have either delayed or canceled projects, but the Company is continuing to invest in the region because long term projects are promising.

The following table summarizes Transition Networks' 2009 and 2008 first six months sales by its major product groups:

|  | Transition Networks Sales by Product Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Media converters | \$ | 19,422,000 | \$ | 23,186,000 |
| Ethernet switches |  | 1,460,000 |  | 2,928,000 |
| Ethernet adapters |  | 3,548,000 |  | 2,572,000 |
| Other products |  | 1,378,000 |  | 1,639,000 |
|  | \$ | 25,808,000 | \$ | 30,325,000 |

Gross margin on the first six months of Transition Networks' sales decreased to \$13,419,000 in 2009 from $\$ 14,403,000$ in 2008 . Gross margin as a percentage of sales was $52 \%$ in 2009 , compared to $47 \%$ in the 2008 period, due to decreased manufacturing costs related to an inventory cost reduction plan started in 2008 and change in product mix. The increase in sales of Ethernet adapters and decrease in media converters is one of the contributors to the increased margins. Furthermore, the decline in sales of media converters is attributed to the slowing economy around the world. The increase in sales of Ethernet adapters is due to ongoing projects in North America. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased $3 \%$ to $\$ 9,795,000$ in 2009 compared to $\$ 10,101,000$ in 2008 due to open positions that have not been filled due to the uncertainty of the economy and lower revenues offset by increases in research and development costs related to the creation of new prototypes. Operating income decreased to $\$ 3,624,000$ in 2009 compared to $\$ 4,302,000$ in 2008.

## JDL Technologies, Inc.

JDL Technologies, Inc. reported 2009 first six months sales of \$5,383,000 compared to \$5,575,000 in 2008.
JDL's revenues by customer group were as follows:

|  | JDL Revenue by Customer Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Broward County FL schools | \$ | 5,301,000 | \$ | 2,573,000 |
| VIDE |  | - |  | 2,921,000 |
| All other |  | 82,000 |  | 81,000 |
|  | \$ | 5,383,000 | \$ | 5,575,000 |

Revenues earned in Broward County FL increased $\$ 2,728,000$ or $106 \%$ in 2009. The increase was the result of network refresh work originally scheduled for 2008 that was performed in the first quarter of 2009 due to customer budget limitations in the fourth quarter of 2008. In addition, projects scheduled to run through September of 2009 were accelerated into the first half by customer request. Overall, in 2009 the Company booked installation business above 2008 levels. The decrease in VIDE revenue in 2009 was due to losing VIDE as a customer for the 2008-2009 school year.

JDL gross margin was $\$ 1,880,000$ in the first six months of 2009 compared to $\$ 2,182,000$ in the same period in 2008. Gross margin in 2008 was significantly impacted by the timing of the recognition of revenues from JDL's VIDE contracts as expenses related to the revenue recognized in the first quarter of 2008 were booked in 2007. More specifically, costs of $\$ 1.4$ million were recorded in 2007 , when the services were provided, while the $\$ 1.3$ million revenue received for such services was recognized in the first six months of 2008 when the E-Rate funding was approved. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased in 2009 to $\$ 661,000$ compared to $\$ 485,000$ in 2008 due to an increase in compensation expenses. JDL reported operating income of $\$ 1,219,000$ in the first six months of 2009 compared to an operating loss of $\$ 1,302,000$ in the same period of 2008 .

## Austin Taylor

Austin Taylor's revenues decreased to $\$ 1,548,000$ for the first six months of 2009, compared to $\$ 3,112,000$ in 2008. This decrease is primarily due to the general market and economy slowdown, specifically with overseas customers. Gross margin decreased $84 \%$ to $\$ 89,000$ in 2009 from $\$ 544,000$ in 2008. Gross margin as a percentage of sales was $6 \%$ in 2009 compared to $17 \%$ in 2008. This decrease was due to greatly increased material costs compounded by an unfavorable currency exchange rate during the first six months of 2009. Additionally, Austin Taylor was burdened with the impact of product quality issues from two of its major vendors. Austin Taylor reported an operating loss in 2009 of $\$ 500,000$ compared to $\$ 5,000$ in 2008.

## Other

Net investment income increased $28 \%$ to $\$ 407,000$ in 2009 as compared to $\$ 318,000$ in 2008. Income before income taxes increased to $\$ 4,951,000$ in 2009 compared to $\$ 3,446,000$ in 2008. The Company's effective income tax rate was $40 \%$ in 2009 compared to $29 \%$ in 2008 . This effective rate was higher than the standard rate of $35 \%$ due to state income taxes, foreign losses not deductible for U.S. income tax purposes, and provisions for interest charges as explained in Note 7 above.

Consolidated sales decreased $9 \%$ in 2009 to $\$ 28,584,000$ compared to $\$ 31,291,000$ in 2008 . Consolidated operating income in 2009 decreased to $\$ 2,768,000$ compared to $\$ 2,930,000$ in the second quarter of 2008.

Net income in 2009 decreased to $\$ 1,748,000$ compared to $\$ 2,261,000$ in the second quarter of 2008

## Suttle

Suttle sales increased $6 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009 to $\$ 10,761,000$ compared to $\$ 10,154,000$ in the same period of 2008 due to stronger DSL product sales. Sales by customer groups in the second quarter of 2009 and 2008 were:

|  | Suttle Sales by Customer Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Major telephone companies | \$ | 6,041,000 | \$ | 4,301,000 |
| Distributors/OEM |  | 3,314,000 |  | 4,238,000 |
| International |  | 380,000 |  | 552,000 |
| Other |  | 1,026,000 |  | 1,063,000 |
|  | \$ | 10,761,000 | \$ | $\underline{10,154,000}$ |

Suttle's sales by product groups in second quarter of 2009 and 2008 were:

|  | Suttle Sales by Product Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Modular connecting products | \$ | 3,946,000 | \$ | 4,153,000 |
| DSL products |  | 3,083,000 |  | 2,185,000 |
| Structured cabling products |  | 3,588,000 |  | 3,727,000 |
| Other products |  | 144,000 |  | 89,000 |
|  | \$ | 10,761,000 | \$ | 10,154,000 |

Sales to the major telephone companies increased $40 \%$ in 2009 due to increased sales of DSL products. Sales to these customers accounted for $56 \%$ of Suttle's sales in the 2009 second quarter compared to $42 \%$ of sales in the same period of 2008 . Sales to distributors, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and electrical contractors decreased $22 \%$ in 2009 primarily due to the contraction of the housing and building sectors of the economy. This customer segment accounted for $31 \%$ and $42 \%$ of sales in the second quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively. International sales decreased $31 \%$ and accounted for $4 \%$ of Suttle's second quarter 2009 sales. Suttle's products do not have a large international market due to different product specifications in non-US markets. Sales to other customers decreased $3 \%$ to $\$ 1,026,000$.

Modular connecting products sales have decreased 5\% due to a slowing of the home building business and accelerated decline in the voice market, whereas DSL products have increased $41 \%$ as a result of a new contract with a major telephone company.

Suttle's gross margin increased $8 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009 to $\$ 2,261,000$ compared to $\$ 2,095,000$ in the same period of 2008. Gross margin percentage remained stable at $21 \%$ in both 2009 and 2008. Suttle realizes its highest selling margins on modular connecting products. DSL products are the least profitable. Suttle also earns better margins on sales to distributor and OEM customers where pricing is usually based on Company list prices than from major telephone customers where pricing is usually based on negotiated contracts. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased $\$ 248,000$ or $14 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 . Suttle's operating income was $\$ 690,000$ in the second quarter of 2009 compared to operating income of $\$ 277,000$ in 2008.

## Transition Networks

Transition Networks sales decreased $21 \%$ to $\$ 13,671,000$ in the second quarter of 2009 compared to $\$ 17,276,000$ in 2008

Second quarter sales by region are presented in the following table:

|  | 2009 |  | Sale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2008 |  |
| North America | \$ | 11,407,000 | \$ | 10,914,000 |
| Europe, Middle East, Africa |  | 879,000 |  | 3,476,000 |
| Rest of world |  | 1,385,000 |  | 2,886,000 |
|  | \$ | 13,671,000 | \$ | 17,276,000 |

Sales in North America increased $\$ 493,000$ or $5 \%$ due to projects with the US Federal Government. International sales decreased $\$ 4,098,000$, or $64 \%$ due to decreased sales from one large customer because the customer elected to delay sales to subsequent quarters. Additionally, business is lower in Japan, greater Asia and South America due to downturns in these economies.

The following table summarizes Transition Networks' 2009 and 2008 second quarter sales by its major product groups:

|  | Transition Networks Sales by Product Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Media converters | \$ | 9,806,000 | \$ | 11,899,000 |
| Ethernet switches |  | 913,000 |  | 2,326,000 |
| Ethernet adapters |  | 2,231,000 |  | 2,019,000 |
| Other products |  | 721,000 |  | 1,032,000 |
|  | \$ | 13,671,000 | \$ | 17,276,000 |

Gross margin on second quarter Transition Networks' sales decreased to $\$ 7,107,000$ in 2009 from $\$ 8,198,000$ in 2008. Gross margin as a percentage of sales was $52 \%$ in 2009 , compared to $47 \%$ in the 2008 period, due to decreased manufacturing costs related to the inventory cost reduction plan started in 2008 and change in product mix. Furthermore, the decline in sales of media converters and Ethernet switches is attributed to the slowing economy around the world. The increase in sales of Ethernet adapters is due to ongoing projects in North America. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased $8 \%$ to $\$ 4,872,000$ in 2009 compared to $\$ 5,317,000$ in 2008 due to open positions that have not been filled due to the uncertainty of the economy and lower revenues. Operating income decreased to $\$ 2,235,000$ in 2009 compared to $\$ 2,881,000$ in 2008 .

## JDL Technologies, Inc.

JDL Technologies, Inc. reported 2009 second quarter sales of \$3,302,000 compared to \$2,415,000 in 2008.
JDL's revenues by customer group were as follows:

|  | JDL Revenue by Customer Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Broward County FL schools | \$ | 3,289,000 | \$ | 1,581,000 |
| VIDE |  | - |  | 834,000 |
| All other |  | 13,000 |  | - |
|  | \$ | 3,302,000 | \$ | 2,415,000 |

Revenues earned in Broward County FL increased $\$ 1,708,000$ or $108 \%$ in 2009. The increase was the result of projects scheduled to run through September of 2009 that were accelerated into the first half by customer request. The decrease in VIDE revenue in 2009 was due to losing VIDE as a customer for the 2008-2009 school year.

JDL gross margin was $\$ 1,236,000$ in the second quarter of 2009 compared to $\$ 747,000$ in the same period in 2008. Gross margin as a percentage of sales was $37 \%$ in 2009 , compared to $31 \%$ in the 2008 period due to purchasing discounts the Company was able to take advantage of during the quarter. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased in 2009 to $\$ 340,000$ compared to $\$ 256,000$ in 2008 which has remained consistent as a percentage of sales at $10 \%$. JDL reported operating income of $\$ 896,000$ in the second quarter of 2009 compared to $\$ 712,000$ in the same period of 2008.

## Austin Taylor

Austin Taylor's revenues decreased to $\$ 850,000$ for the second quarter of 2009 , compared to $\$ 1,446,000$ in 2008 . This decrease is primarily due to the general market and economy slowdown. Gross margin decreased $80 \%$ to $\$ 45,000$ in 2009 from $\$ 221,000$ in 2008 . Gross margin as a percentage of sales was $5 \%$ in 2009 compared to $15 \%$ in 2008 . This decrease was due to greatly increased material costs compounded by an unfavorable currency exchange rate during the second quarter of 2009. Additionally, Austin Taylor was burdened with the impact of product quality issues from two of its major vendors. Austin Taylor reported an operating loss in 2009 of $\$ 263,000$ compared to $\$ 46,000$ in 2008.

## Other

Net investment income increased $54 \%$ to $\$ 247.000$ in 2009 as compared to $\$ 160,000$ in 2008. Income before income taxes decreased $2 \%$ to $\$ 3,014,000$ in 2009 compared to $\$ 3,090,000$ in 2008. The Company's effective income tax rate was $42 \%$ in 2009 compared to $27 \%$ in 2008 . This effective rate was higher than our expected tax rate of $36.5 \%$ in 2009 due primarily to uncertainties regarding our ability to realize the benefits of foreign losses not deductible for U.S. income tax purposes. The 2008 rate reflects settlement of a Puerto Rico tollgate tax dispute in May 2008, which resulted in an income tax benefit that decreased the effective tax rate to $27 \%$.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2009, the Company had approximately $\$ 34,601,000$ of cash, cash equivalents and investments compared to $\$ 29,952,000$ of cash, cash equivalents and investments at December 31, 2008. The Company had current assets of approximately $\$ 78,749,000$ and current liabilities of $\$ 13,715,000$ at June 30, 2009 compared to current assets of $\$ 80,819,000$ and current liabilities of $\$ 10,091,000$ at December 31, 2008.

Net cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 8,767,000$ in the first six months of 2009 compared to $\$ 2,412,000$ provided in the same period in 2008. Significant working capital changes from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009 included decreased inventory of $\$ 1,737,000$ due to sale of increased inventory purchases at the end of 2008 and an increase in accounts payable of $\$ 1,866,000$ related to the increased inventory purchases at JDL.

Net cash used in investing activities was $\$ 16,806,000$ in the first six months in 2009 compared to cash used of $\$ 2,281,000$ in the same period in 2008 , due to the purchase of certificates of deposit with maturities of greater than 90 days, offset by the sale of such investments. Additionally, capital expenditures during the first half of 2009 were comparable to the prior 2008 period. In 2008, there were additional purchases equipping the Company's new building in Minnetonka, Minnesota. In 2009, the Company spent approximately $\$ 1,644,000$ on new machinery at the Suttle facility.

Net cash used in financing activities was $\$ 2,126,000$ and $\$ 1,983,000$ in the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. Cash dividends paid in the first six months of 2009 were $\$ 1,996,000(\$ .24$ per common share) compared to $\$ 2,063,000(\$ .24$ per common share) in the same period in 2008. Proceeds from common stock issuances, principally exercises of employee stock options, totaled approximately $\$ 67,000$ in the first six months of 2009 and $\$ 307,000$ in the same period in 2008. The Company's Board of Directors has authorized the purchase and retirement, from time to time, of shares of the Company's stock on the open market, or in private transactions consistent with overall market and financial conditions. In the first six months of 2009 , the Company purchased and retired 2,869 of its common shares at a cost of $\$ 26,000$. At June $30,2009,484,194$ additional shares could be repurchased under outstanding Board authorizations. The Company has a $\$ 10,000,000$ line of credit from U.S. Bank. Interest on borrowings on the credit line is at the LIBOR rate plus $1.5 \%(2.1 \%$ at June 30, 2009). There were no borrowings on the line of credit during the first three months of 2009 or 2008 . The credit agreement expires September 30, 2009 and is secured by assets of the Company. As part of the acquisition of the new Minnetonka headquarters building in July 2007, the Company assumed an outstanding mortgage of $\$ 4,380,000$. The mortgage is payable in monthly installments and carries an interest rate of $6.83 \%$. The mortgage matures on March 1 , 2016. Mortgage payments on principal totaled $\$ 86,000$ during the second quarter of 2009 . The outstanding balance on the mortgage was $\$ 2,952,000$ at June 30 , 2009 .

In the opinion of management, based on the Company's current financial and operating position and projected future expenditures, sufficient funds are available to meet the Company's anticipated operating and capital expenditure needs.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies, including the assumptions and judgments underlying them, are discussed in our 2008 Form 10-K in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies included in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. There were no significant changes to our critical accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

The Company's accounting policies have been consistently applied in all material respects and disclose such matters as allowance for doubtful accounts, sales returns, inventory valuation, warranty expense, income taxes, revenue recognition, asset and goodwill impairment recognition and foreign currency translation. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the result of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Results may differ from these estimates due to actual outcomes being different from those on which we based our assumptions. Management on an ongoing basis reviews these estimates and judgments.

## Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

We do not believe there are any recently issued accounting standards that have not yet been adopted that will have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

## I tem 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

The Company has no freestanding or embedded derivatives. The Company's policy is to not use freestanding derivatives and to not enter into contracts with terms that cannot be designated as normal purchases or sales.

The vast majority of our transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars; as such, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates have historically not been material to the Company. At June 30, 2009 our bank line of credit carried a variable interest rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (Libor) plus $1.5 \%$. The Company's investments are either money market type of investments that earn interest at prevailing market rates or certificates of deposits insured through the federal deposit insurance company and as such do not have material risk exposure.

Based on the Company's operations, in the opinion of management, no material future losses or exposure exist relative to market risk.

## I tem 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Operating Effectiveness of Accounting and Control Procedures. We concluded that, in the aggregate, no material weakness existed as of June 30 , 2009 related to documentation and review of significant accounting judgments and estimates, balance sheet account reconciliations, financial closing processes and financial reporting processes at period ends.

## Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The following changes to our internal controls over financial reporting were substantially completed during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 and have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting

- We have developed detailed methodologies for all items requiring management's estimate and judgment and these methodologies formally document management's thought processes used to determine the amounts in estimates and such analyses are shared with the audit committee;
- We have developed formal processes to document completion and review and approval of balance sheet account reconciliations;
- We have implemented processes to provide for supporting documentation and evidence of independent review and approval of journal entries, processes to require execution of sub-certifications of appropriate officers, processes to ensure that monthly close checklists are implemented and followed, processes to ensure formal review and approval of final subsidiary trial balances to reconcile agreement to consolidating schedule and processes to ensure review of posted journal entries;
- We have developed templates and checklists for disclosure items and preparation of periodic reports.

During the period covered by this Report there was no additional change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## P ART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Not Applicable

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the risk factors disclosed elsewhere in this report or in the Company's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the following risk factor should be considered when reviewing other information set forth in this report and previously filed reports.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all possible error or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our Company have been detected. These inherent limitations, include, the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of persons, by collusion of two or more persons, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected.

## Risk of goodwill impairment.

A sustained decline in the Company's stock price below book value may result in goodwill impairments that could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial position.

## Items 2 - 3. Not Applicable

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders

The Annual Meeting of the Shareholders of the Registrant was held on May 21, 2009 at the Company's offices in Minnetonka, Minnesota. The total number of shares outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting was $8,345,481$ of which $7,682,216$ were present either in person or by proxy. Shareholders reelected board members Jeffrey K. Berg and Roger H.D. Lacey to three-year terms expiring at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The vote for these board members was as follows:

|  | In Favor | Abstaining |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $7,432,958$ | 209,177 |
| Jeffrey K. Berg | $7,402,171$ | 240,287 |

Board members continuing in office are Edwin C. Freeman, Luella Gross Goldberg, Gerald D. Pint, Curtis A. Sampson and Randall D. Sampson (whose terms expire at Annual Shareholder Meetings in 2010 and 2011.

In addition, at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, shareholders approved an amendment of the Company's 1990 Employee Stock Purchase Plan to increase by 100,000 shares the number of shares authorized for issuance under such plan to a total of 500,000 shares.

## Item 5. Not Applicable

## Item 6 Exhibits.

The following exhibits are included herein:
31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Exchange Act).
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Exchange Act).
32. Certifications pursuant Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. §1350).

## S ignatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereto duly authorized.

| Communications Systems, Inc. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: August 12, 2009 | By |
| $\frac{\text { /s/ Jeffrey K. Berg }}{\text { Jeffrey K. Berg }}$President and Chief Executive Officer <br> Date: August 12, 2009 | /s/ David T. McGraw <br> David T. McGraw <br> Chief Financial Officer |

## I, Jeffrey K. Berg certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Communications Systems, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13 a 15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By /s/ Jeffrey K. Berg
Jeffrey K. Berg
Date: August 12, 2009 President and Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION

## I, David T. McGraw, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Communications Systems, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { By } & \text { /s/ David T. McGraw } \\
\text { David T. McGraw } \\
\text { Chief Financial Officer }
\end{array}
$$

## CERTIFICATION

The undersigned certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, that:
(1) The accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or $15(\mathrm{~d})$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the accompanying Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: August 12, 2009

Date: August 12, 2009

David T. McGraw
Chief Financial Officer
By /s/ Jeffrey K. Berg
Jeffrey K. Berg
President and Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ David T. McGraw

